

expiration of one year of supervised release ... if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the defendant released and the interest of justice.” 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(1).

“Occasionally, changed circumstances. . . [such as] exceptionally good behavior by the defendant . . . will render a previously imposed term or condition of release either too harsh or inappropriately tailored to serve the general punishment goals of section 3553(a).” *United States v. Lussier*, 104 F.3d 32, 36 (2d Cir. 1997). “A defendant’s faithful compliance with the terms of his supervision does not, by itself, entitle him to modification or termination of his term of supervised release.” *United States v. Bouchareb*, 76 F. Supp. 3d 478, 479 (S.D.N.Y. 2014); *see also United States v. Gonzales*, No. 94 Cr. 134 (JSR), 2015 WL 4940607, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 3, 2015) (“[F]ull compliance with the terms of supervised release is what is expected of [the defendant] ...and does not warrant early termination.”).

Here, Defendant has not identified any extraordinary circumstances or unforeseen consequences which would justify early termination. While it is undisputed that Green has been compliant with the terms of his supervised release, full compliance alone does not warrant early termination. *See Bouchareb*, 76 F. Supp. 3d at 479. Moreover, Green’s circumstances with his mother, while unfortunate, are not unforeseen or so burdensome as to not render his terms of release “harsh”. *See Lussier*, 104 F.3d at 36. If Green would like to move to Florida to be closer to his mother, he may request permission to do so, but such a move does not necessitate early termination of his release. Accordingly, Green’s motion for early termination of his supervised release is denied. The Clerk is instructed to terminate the open motion, ECF No. 33.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 12, 2021
New York, New York

/s/ Alvin K. Hellerstein
ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, U.S.D.J.